

Harts Lane 7.5 tonne weight limit & speed limit extension.

Formal consultation response

Consultee	Objection	Support	Comments	Project manager comments
M K	X		Objects on the grounds that he feels it's a waste of public money. He doesn't feel there is a speeding issue and doesn't believe Harts Lane has a huge problem with HGV'S.	Advised that we have data to prove otherwise and that the weight limit is just formalising an existing informal arrangement that requires the HGV's accessing Trident must use Temple Inn Lane. He would not withdraw his objection.
K D		X	<i>Resident of Harts Lane - Letter of support - doesn't feel Harts Lane is safe for her children to walk to school. Speeding at peak hours and HGV's have caused damage to properties as its not wide enough to accommodate them.</i>	
Mr P		X	<i>Resident of Harts Lane - Letter of support "The extension of the 30 mph limit should help in highlighting the dangers inherent in the narrow steep-banked parts, and I support this, though I expect some enforcement/driver education will still be needed.</i>  <i>The 7.5 ton limitation will still allow essential goods and service vehicles to reach houses this side of the railway bridge. The restriction should eliminate the problems of articulated lorries having to reverse for long distances to correct navigation errors. "</i>	
Mr H		X	<i>Resident of Harts Lane - Letter of support "Clearly the width of the Lane is not conducive to its use by larger vehicles, "Near Misses" are not uncommon, neither are "jams". Simply put the Draft Order will bring some welcome relief.</i>	